UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,311	03/31/2006	Katsushi Ohizumi	65213(71117)	2276
21874 7590 12/09/2009 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			DAZENSKI, MARC A	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/574,311	OHIZUMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARC DAZENSKI	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	entember 2000					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
·—	<i>,</i> —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-40</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	☐ Claim(s) 37-40 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	·					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 <i>March 2006</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 37-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 39 and 40 define a content

recording medium and a computer program, respectively, embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or computer-readable memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). The scope of the presently claimed invention encompasses products that are not necessarily computer readable, and thus NOT able to impart any functionality of the recited program.

Note:

A "signal" (or equivalent) embodying functional descriptive material is neither a process nor a product (i.e., a tangible "thing") and therefore does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of § 101. Rather, "signal" is a form of energy, in the absence of any physical structure or tangible material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uchikoga (US PgPub 2001/0005446), hereinafter referred to as Uchikoga.

Regarding **claim 37**, Uchikoga discloses a multimedia information playback apparatus and method. Further, Uchikoga discloses a stream data playback apparatus (100) for playing back stream data as multimedia information, wherein the distributed

stream data is received by and stored in the apparatus (100), which reads on the claimed, "a recording and reproducing apparatus," as disclosed at paragraphs [0091]-[0094] and exhibited in figures 2 and 7;

communication interface (102) for communicating with the server (300) through the network (200), which reads on the claimed, "an external interface to connect with an external recording medium having a content recorded thereon," as disclosed at paragraph [0102];

control unit (101) which acquires video, audio, and control data and stores them in a disk serving as a storage device (105) control unit (101) reads out the control data stored in the disk and performs playback processing of the video and audio data in the disk in accordance with the control data, which reads on the claimed, "a recording unit that records the content read from the external recording medium connected to the external interface, and a processing unit that reproduces or executes the recorded content, wherein the processing unit has an installation processing unit that installs the content recorded in the external recording medium together with specified management information into a certain area of the recording unit" as disclosed at paragraphs [0102] - [0105] and disclosed in figure 9; and,

the control unit (101) undergoes playback processing according to the control data downloaded from network server (300), the control data having functions of limiting playback contents, e.g. a user operation limitation function, playback channel limitations function, playback stream data limitation function, and a function of checking user operation and playing back a stream, and further wherein authentication server (42)

Art Unit: 2621

performs mutual authentication with external server (90) to determine whether playback of certain content is allowed, which reads on the claimed, "wherein the processing unit instructs the installation processing unit to install based on an installation instruction from the content reproduced or executed by the processing unit; and wherein the installation process to the certain area of the recording unit cannot be executed by other than the installation processing unit," as disclosed at paragraphs [0046] – [0048], [0106] – [0110], and exhibited in figures 10-11.

Regarding **claim 38**, the examiner maintains the claim is merely the corresponding method to the apparatus of claim 37, and is therefore rejected in view of the explanation set forth in claim 37 above.

Regarding **claim 39**, Uchikoga discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 37). Further, Uchikoga discloses storage device (105) stores audio, video, and control data, the control data used to control playback processing, which reads on the claimed, "a content recording medium having a record of a content thereon including the installation instruction to be supplied to the recording and reproducing apparatus of claim 37," as disclosed at paragraphs [0102] – [0109] and exhibited in figure 11.

Regarding **claim 40**, Uchikoga discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 38). Further, Uchikoga discloses that the present invention can be implemented by a computer, and further that the apparatus (1) may be constituted by a program for decoding data read out from the storage device, which reads on the claimed, "a computer program for causing a computer to execute the file accessing method of claim 38," as disclosed at paragraphs [0036] – [0037] (wherein if the

invention can be implemented by a computer, then there must be a corresponding computer program controlling it or else it would be unable to function).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARC DAZENSKI whose telephone number is (571)270-5577. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571)272-7905. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/574,311 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/MARC DAZENSKI/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621